

## References

### Survey Methods texts

Biemer, P. P., de Leeuw, E., Eckman, S., Edwards, B., Kreuter, F., Lyberg, L. E., ... & West, B. T. (Eds.). (2017). *Total Survey Error in Practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

Blair, J.E., R.F. Czaja and E.A. Blair (2013) *Designing surveys: a guide to decisions and procedures* (3rd ed.). Sage, Chichester.

Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. and Christian, L. (2009) *Internet, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Font, J. and M. Méndez. (Eds.). 2013. *Surveying ethnic minorities and immigrant populations. Methodological challenges and research strategies*. Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam.

Groves, R.M. et al (2004) *Survey Methodology*. Willey: Hoboken, NJ.

Kreuter, F., Presser, S. and Tourangeau, R. (2008) 'Social Desirability Bias in CATI, IVR, and Web Surveys: The Effects of Mode and Question Sensitivity'. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72(5), pp. 847–865

Olsen K., Smyth, J.D., Dykema, J., Holbrook, A.L., Kreuter, F., West, B.T. [Eds] (2020) *Interviewer Effects from a Total Survey Error Perspective*. Parkway, NJ: Routledge

Winker, P., N. Menold and R. Porst. (Eds.). 2013. *Interviewers' deviations in surveys: impact, reasons, detection and prevention*. Berlin, Peter Lang.

### Questionnaire Design Texts

Bradburn, N, Sudman, S., Wansink B. (2004) *Asking Questions: the definitive guide to questionnaire design...for market research, political polls and social and health questionnaires*. Jossey Bass.

Campanelli, P., Nicolaas, G., Jäckle, A., Lynn, P., Hope, S., Blake, M. and Gray, M. (2013) 'A Classification of Question Characteristics Relevant to Measurement Error and Consequently Important for Mixed Mode Questionnaire Design'. First presented at the Royal Statistical Society, London, 11 October 2011.

Converse, J.M., & Presser, S. (1986). *Survey Questions: Hand-crafting the Standardized Survey Questionnaire*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Cox, E.P (1980) The optimal number of response alternate for a scale: A review. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 17(4): 407-422

De Leeuw, E., Hox, J., and Boevé, A. (2015) 'Handling Do-Not-Know Answers: Exploring New Approaches in Online and Mixed-Mode Surveys'. *Social Science Computer Review*. 34 (1): 116-132

Dillman DA, Jolene D. Smyth, JD, Christian LM (2008) *Internet, Mail and Mixed Mode Surveys: the Tailored Design Method*. John Wiley & Sons. Hoboken, New Jersey.

Fowler, F.J. (1995). *Improving Survey Questions: Design and Evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Hanson, T., McGee, A., Steen, B., Thom, J., Lindley, L., Taylor, L. (2021) How should we present "Don't Know" options in self-completion surveys? Lessons from three experiments. *Social Research Practice*. <https://the-sra.org.uk/SRA/Publications/Social-Research-Practice.aspx>

Jenkins, C.R. and Dillman, D.A. (1997). 'Towards a theory of self-administered questionnaire design' In Lyberg et al (eds) *Survey Measurement and Process Quality*. New York, Wiley.

Krosnick, J. (2000). The Threat of Satisficing in Surveys: The Shortcuts Respondents Take in Answering Questions. *Survey Methods Newsletter*, 20(1), 2000 (Published by the National Centre for Social Research, London, UK).

Krosnick, J. A. 1991. "Response Strategies for Coping with the Cognitive Demands of Attitude Measures in Surveys." *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 5:213-236.

Krosnick, J.A. and Presser, S. (2009) Question and Questionnaire Design. Available at: [https://web.stanford.edu/dept/communication/faculty/krosnick/docs/2009/2009\\_handbook\\_krosnick.pdf](https://web.stanford.edu/dept/communication/faculty/krosnick/docs/2009/2009_handbook_krosnick.pdf)

Oppenheim, A.N. (1996). *Questionnaire Design and Attitude Measurement*. New York: Basic Books.

Payne, S.L. (1951). *The Art of Asking Questions*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Phillips, K. A., Johnson, F. R., & Maddala, T. (2002). Measuring what people value: a comparison of "attitude" and "preference" surveys. *Health services research*, 37(6), 1659–1679. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1464045/>

Preston, Carolyn C., and Andrew M. Colman. 2000. "Optimal Number of Response Categories in Rating Scales: Reliability, Validity, Discriminating Power, and Respondent Preferences." *Acta Psychologica* 104:1–15.

Saris W.E., Revilla, M., Krosnick, J.A., Schaeffer, E.M., 2010 Comparing questions with agree/disagree response options to questions with item-specific response options. *Survey Research Methods*, 4(1), 61-79. Available at <https://ojs.ub.uni-konstanz.de/srm/article/view/2682>

Saris, W.E. and I.N. Gallhofer. 2014. *Design, evaluation, and analysis of questionnaires for survey research (2nd ed.)*. Wiley, Chichester.

Smyth, J.D, Christian L.M. and Dillman, D.A, (2008). Does “yes or no” on the telephone mean the same as “check-all-that-apply” on the web? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72, 1, 6103-113.

Smyth, J.D, Dillman, D.A, Christian L.M. and Stern L.J. (2006). Comparing Check-All and Forced-Choice Question Formats in Web Surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 70, 1, 66-77.

Schwarz, N & Hippler, H (1991) Response Alternatives: The impact of their choice and presentation order. In P.N. Biemer, R.M Groves, L.E. Lyberg et al Measurement Error in Surveys: New York, John Willey: 41-56

Sturgis, P., Allum, N. and Smith, P. (2008) ‘An experiment on the measurement of political knowledge in surveys’. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 72 (1): 90-102.

Sudman, S., & Bradburn, N. (1982). *Asking Questions: A Practical Guide to Questionnaire Design*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Ye, Fulton & Tourangeau, (2011) ‘More Positive or More Extreme? A Meta-Analysis of Mode Differences in Response Choice’, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75(2), pp. 349–365.

### **Question Testing**

Beatty, P., Collins, D., Kaye, L., Padilla, JL., Willis, G., Wilmot, A [eds] (2020) *Advances in Questionnaire Design, Development, Evaluation and Testing*. Hoboken, N.J: Willey

Beatty, P. (2004) The Dynamics of Cognitive Interviewing in Presser et al Methods for Testing and Evaluating Survey Questions. Willey: Hoboken NJ. Pp 45-66

Behr,D., Kaczmirek, L., Bandilla, W., Braun,M. (2012): Asking probing questions in web surveys: which factors have an impact on the quality of responses? *Social Science Computer Review*, 30(4), S. 487-498.

Biemer, P. and Trewin, D. (1997) *A review of measurement error effects on the analysis of survey data*. In Lyberg et al (eds) *Survey Measurement and Process Quality* New York, Wiley.

Biemer, P.P, Groves, R.M, Lyberg, L.E, Mathiowetz N.A, & Sudman, S. (Eds.) (2013), *Measurement Errors in Surveys*. New York: Wiley. 393-418.

Cannell, C.F., Miller, P.V., and Oksenberg, L., (1981) Research on interviewing techniques, in S. Leinhardt (ed) *Sociological Methodology*, San Francisco Jossey-Bass.

Collins, D. (ed) (2015) *Cognitive Interviewing Practice*. London: Sage.

Lenzner, T., 2014. Are readability formulas valid tools for assessing survey question difficulty? *Sociological Methods & Research*, 43(4), pp.677-698.

Lyberg, L., Biemer, P., Collins, M., Dippo, C., Schwarz, N., Trewin, D. (eds) (1997) *Survey Measurement and Process Quality* New York, Wiley.

Jabine, T, Straf, M., Tanur, J. and Tourangeau R. (eds), (1984) *Cognitive Aspects of Survey Methodology: Building a Bridge Between the Disciplines*. Washington DC: National Academy Press

Jenkins, C.R. and Dillman, D.A. (1997). Towards a theory of self-administered questionnaire design in Lyberg et al (eds) *Survey Measurement and Process Quality*. New York, Wiley.

Miller, K., Chepp, V., Willson, S., Padilla, JS. Eds (2014) *Cognitive Interviewing Methodology*. Hoboken, NJ. Wiley.

Oksenberg, L., Cannell, C., and Kalton, G. (1991) New Strategies for Pretesting Survey Questions. *Journal of Official Statistics*. Vol.7. No.3, 349-365.

Presser, Rothgeb, Couper, Lessler, Martin, Martin, Singer (2004) *Methods for Testing and Evaluating Survey Questionnaires*. Hoboken, NJ: Willey

Sudman, S., Bradburn, N. M., and Schwarz, N. (1996) *Thinking About Answers: The Application of Cognitive Processes to Survey Methodology*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.

Tourangeau R., Rips L., Rasinski K. (2000) *The Psychology of Survey Response*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tourangeau, R. (1984) 'Cognitive science and survey methods: A cognitive perspective'. In T. Jabine, M. Straf, J. Tanur, R. Tourangeau. (eds) *Cognitive Aspects of Survey Design: Building a bridge between the disciplines*. Washington DC; National Academy Press: 73-100.

Tourangeau, R. and Yan, T. (2007) 'Sensitive Questions in Surveys', *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(5), pp. 859–883.

Willis, G.B. (2005) *Cognitive Interviewing: A Tool for Improving Questionnaire Design*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications Inc.

## Resources

FINER Framework - <https://www.scalelive.com/finer.html>

Questionnaire Appraisal System (QAS99) - copy this link into your browser (it doesn't load if you click on it) - <https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/evaluation/pdf/brief15.pdf>

Cognitive interviewing video - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?edufilter=NULL&v=OVRgH0OrQPM>

## Context effects and what do about them

Context effects in Internet surveys: New issues and evidence Jolene D. Smyth, Don A. Dillman, and Leah Melani Christian (2009) [Oxford Handbook of Internet Psychology](#). Edited by Adam N. Joinson, Katelyn Y. A. McKenna, Tom Postmes, and Ulf-Dietrich Reips  
(a version of this chapter can be found here: <https://subsites.sesrc.wsu.edu/dillman/papers/2007/ContextEffects.pdf>)

## Development of the SF12 questionnaire

Ware, Kosinski, Keller (1996) A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: Construction of Scales and Preliminary Tests of Reliability and Validity. *Medical Care*: 34:3; pp220-233 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3766749.pdf>

### **Measuring hardship**

Summary of different concepts and their definitions -

[https://pure.sruc.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/20036996/rural\\_lives\\_literature\\_review\\_jan\\_2020.pdf](https://pure.sruc.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/20036996/rural_lives_literature_review_jan_2020.pdf)

Indicators of material deprivation used on the EU\_SILC survey and included in the core questionnaire of EU\_SILC survey – see

<https://research->

[information.bris.ac.uk/files/113000475/Improving\\_the\\_measurement\\_of\\_material\\_deprivation\\_at\\_EU\\_level\\_FINAL\\_VERSION\\_04052015\\_withFig1Amended.pdf](https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/files/113000475/Improving_the_measurement_of_material_deprivation_at_EU_level_FINAL_VERSION_04052015_withFig1Amended.pdf)

## **Methods for asking sensitive behaviours**

Random Response Technique

Blair, Imai, Zhou (2015) Design and Analysis of the Randomised Response Technique. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 110:511 <https://imai.fas.harvard.edu/research/files/randresp.pdf>

Randomised Response Technique application to the National Survey on Substance Use in the General Population of Georgia – [copy and paste into your browser if it doesn't load when you click on the link] <https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/attachments/3115/Session%203%20-%205.%20I.%20Kirtadze%20-%20Application%20of%20randomised%20response%20technique%20to%20the%20general%20population%20survey%20on%20drugs%20%5bCompatibility%20Mode%5d.pdf>

(this slide deck explains how the technique works and its variations)